UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,139 05/04/2006		Daniel Martin	10139/06302	2560
76960 Fay Kaplun & N	7590 08/21/200 Marcin, LLP	EXAMINER		
150 Broadway,	suite 702	SIGLER, JAY R		
New York, NY 10038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/529,139	MARTIN, DANIEL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JAY R. SIGLER	3733	

		O'TT TO GIGEER	0700
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE RI	EPLY FILED <u>21 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
a a fo	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on opplication, applicant must timely file one of the following opplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceptions:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) 🗌	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) 🔀	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
have be under 37 set forth may red	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ans of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origiten than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
fil N	ing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterence of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed we be been filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed in the pr	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(0	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a continuous present additional claims.	tter form for appeal by materially red	
(0	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		scied ciaims.
4. 🔲 🗆	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		(
6. 🔲 1 — ⁿ	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	
h T C C	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) but the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: 34-60. laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an explanation of
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. 🛛	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	ardo C. Robert/ visory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733	/Jay Sigler/	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 21 July 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Concerning specifically the argument on page 8-9 regarding the language of Burkinshaw, namely "provide an intramedullary nail constructed from an assembly of multiple rods, wires or filaments attached at both ends by end caps." (see col. 4, I. 53-56), the examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant. The assembly can be taken to include every element listed after "of" to the end of the sentence, i.e. multiple rods, wires or filaments attached at both ends by end caps. Therefor, the "rod assembly" can be considered the rods and end caps. Additionally, Burkinshaw gives a range for the length of the rods with a minimum of 15 cm and a range for the overall length of the rod assembly with a minimum of 18 cm, which is greater then the allowed minimum of the rods. This supports the assertion that the extra length in the rod assembly is provided by the length of the end caps, because if the rod assembly only included the rods, the minimum length of the rods and rod assembly would be the same.